

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia. 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,461	. 02/12/2004	Erika Hawkins	341.022US1	2264	
	11/2/1/2000			EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Ammliagna/a)	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summer	10/777,461	HAWKINS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Susan Hanley	1651	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	'S
WHI0 - External after af	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the miled patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 July 2006		•
2a)□		Fhis action is non-final.		•
3)□	/—			-!4- !-
ا ا	Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•	rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	•	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-69 are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	•	
Annlicat	ion Papers			
	•			
_	The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	· · · · · ·		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	*	• •	
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-19	52.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	opplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	je
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
A 44 !			•	
Attachmen	• •	,, □ , , , ,	0	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	/08) 5) ☐ Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/28/06</u> .	6) Other:	·	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The previous restriction requirement is withdrawn and a new restriction requirement is set forth:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-42, 55, 56 and 69, drawn to methods of assaying an enzyme-mediated reaction, classified in class 435, subclass 8, for example.
- II. Claims 43-54 and 63-68, drawn to a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 975, for example.
- III. Claims 57-62, drawn to a method for reducing analyte-independent or analyte-independent phosphorescence, classified in class 250, subclass 252.1, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I or III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the methods can be practiced without the kit and the kit components can be used for assaying non-luminescent reactions.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the enzyme assay can be carried out without reducing background phosphorescence or the contribution of the background phosphorescence can be subtracted out by using a blank. The subcombination has separate utility such as employing it to reduced background phosphorescence in photoreactions.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

If Group I is elected, the following specie elections are required:

A. For the FIRST OR SECOND enzyme-mediated luminescence reaction, elect a quenching agent from the following:

- 1. a sequestering agent; if this specie is elected, Applicant is further required to elect one of the following: a nonionic detergent, a crown ether, a glycol or a cyclodextrin.
- 2. a colored compound; if this specie is elected, Applicant is further required to elect the type of light that is quenched: red, blue, or green.
- 3. a substrate analog inhibitor that is a protected coelenterazine.

The species are independent or distinct because they have chemical structure that are distinct from one another. The distinct structures cause the compounds to have unrelated properties that cause the quenching.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 12, 13, 17-42 are generic.

- B. For the FIRST enzyme-mediated luminescence reaction, Applicant is required to elect if the luminescence reaction is:
 - 1. peroxidase-mediated luminescence reaction;
 - 2. phosphatase-mediated luminescence reaction; or
 - 3. luciferase-mediated; if this specie is elected, Applicant is required to elect the type of luciferase mediation from one of the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/777,461

Art Unit: 1651

a. anthozoan luciferase;

b. Renilla reniformis.

The species are independent or distinct because enzymes have different chemical structures with at least three levels of complexity. This causes enzymes to catalyze reactions by different mechanisms and to have different substrate specificities.

Page 4

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-5, 6-11, 16, 20-24, 29-32, 35, 37-42, 55, 56 and 60 are generic.

C. For the SECOND enzyme-mediated luminescence reaction, Applicant is required to elect if the luminescence reaction is:

- 1. peroxidase-mediated luminescence reaction;
- 2. phosphatase-mediated luminescence reaction; or
- 3. luciferase-mediated; if this specie is elected, Applicant is required to elect the type of luciferase mediation from one of the following:
 - a. anthozoan luciferase;
 - b. Renilla reniformis;
 - c. Photinus pyralis luciferase; or
 - d. Pyrophorous plagiophthalamus luciferase.

The species are independent or distinct because enzymes have different chemical structures with at least three levels of complexity. This causes enzymes to catalyze reactions by different mechanisms and to have different substrate specificities.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-19, 24-28, 36-4255, 56 and 60 are generic.

If Group II is elected, the following specie elections are required:

A. Applicant is required to elect a quenching agent from one of the following:

- 1. a sequestering agent, if this specie is elected, Applicant is further required to elect one of the following: a nonionic detergent, a crown ether, a glycol or a cyclodextrin;
- 2. a colored compound, if this specie is elected, Applicant is further required to elect the type of light that is quenched: red, blue, or green;
- 3. a substrate analog inhibitor that is a protected coelenterazine.

The species are independent or distinct because they have chemical structure that are distinct from one another. They distinct structures cause the compounds to have unrelated properties that cause the quenching.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 44, 49-51, and 63-67 are generic.

If Group III is elected, Applicant is required to make the following specie elections:

I. Elect if the colored compound is red, blue yellow or green.

The species are independent or distinct because the chemical structure of a colored compound is dependent on wavelength of light that the compound reflects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 57-59, 61 and 62 are generic.

II. Applicant is required to elect if the enzyme is an anthozoan or beetle luciferase.

The species are independent or distinct because enzymes have different chemical structures with at least three levels of complexity. This causes enzymes to catalyze reactions by different mechanisms and to have different substrate specificities.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 57-60 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,461

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

· Page 7

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Susan Hanley whose telephone number is 571-272-2508. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (INVOSA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan Hanley Patent Examiner AU 1651 Leon B Lankford, Jr.

rimar Examiner

Art **L**onit 1651